Fermi 2 6400 North Dixie Hwy., Newport, Michigan 48166 Tel: 313,586,5201 Fax: 313,586,4172

#### **Detroit Edison**

10CFR50.73

October 1, 1998 NRC-98-0113 3

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory CommissionAttn: Document Control DeskWashington, D.C. 20555

Reference:

Fermi - 2

NRC Docket No. 50-341 NRC License No. NPF-43

Subject:

Licensee Event Report (LER) No. 98-005

Pursuant to 10CFR50.73, Detroit Edison Company is submitting the enclosed LER No. 98-005 documenting an unplanned manual reactor scram in response to reactor power fluctuations.

The following commitment is being made in this LER:

 Problems identified during the Sixth Refueling Outage (RFO6) High Pressure Turbine Control Valve (HPTCV), Turbine Stop Valve (TSV), and Turbine Bypass Valve (TBPV) work activities will be investigated and resolved prior to reactor startup from RFO6.

If you have any questions, please contact Norman K. Peterson, Director Nuclear Licensing at (734) 586-4258.

Sincerely,

mighty.

7810060142 781001 PDR ADDCK 05000341 S PDR

cc: Regional Administrator, Region III

B. L. Burgess

G. A. Harris

A. J. Kugler

M. V. Yudasz, Jr.

Region III

Wayne County Emergency Management Division

工的儿



			_	-	-			_	_		_				-			-							_	
				,					]	LIC	EN	SEE	EVE	ENT	RE	PORT (	(LER	)								
FACILIT	YNA	ME (	1)				_	_	_									DOCKET N	NUMBER	(2)					PAGE (3	)
Fern	ni -	2																0 5	10	0	0	3	4	1	1	OF 5
TITLE (		_	lanu	150	rom	in Res	DONG	a to l	Dan	otor	Down	r Eluc	tuntio	mc	_	-										
		10/	lailu	11 30	Iaiii	III Kes	pons	C 10 .	\Ca	CIOI	OWC	i Tiuc	tuatio	1115												
EVENT				1.			LER NUM						DATE (7)		-				R FACIL							-
MON	DAY	DAY YR		YR		SEQUENTIAL NUMBER					REVISION MON NUMBER		DAY	YR		FACI	ILITY NAME	S		DOX	CKETN	UMBER	(S)			
																0						0	0	0		
9	0	4	98	9	8	- 0	0	5	-	0	0	10	05	98						0	5	0	0	0		
OPERA"	TING			TH	S REPO	RT IS SUBM	ITTED PI	URSUAN	TTO	THE REQ	UREME	NTS OF 10	CFR: (11)							_		_	_	_	_	
POWE LEVE (10)		0	7   (	0				<u>&gt;</u>			10 CFI OTHE	R - (5	0.73(a)	y in A	Abstra	ct below a	and in to	ext, NI	RC F	orm	366 <i>A</i>	i)				
_	_	_			_		_	_		_	_	LICEN	SEE COM	IACIF	JR THIS	LER (12)			_		T	_	TELE	PHON	NUMBI	ER
Kim	ber	ly l	Hars	ley -	Cor	npliano	e En	gine	er													AREA (		58	6-12	2.55
																E DESCRIBE			Γ (13)							
CAUSE	USE SYSTEM		EM	СОМ		COMPONENT		MANUFACTU		CTURER		ONPRDS			CAUSE	SYSTEM	TEM COMPONENT		_	MANUFACTURER			REPORTABLE TO NPRDS			
х	7	Γ	A	F	С	V	Е	2	7	5	,	Yes														
		1																		1	1					
						SUPPLEME											ECTED MISSION	i	L	MO	ИТН	I	D	AY		YEAR
	[]	YE	S (If )	es, c	mple	ete EXPE	CTE	SUE	BMIS	SSION	DAT	E)	[X	] NO		DA	TE (15)									
ABSTR	ACT (I	16)		7						-		-														

On September 4, 1998 at approximately 1700 hours, a reactor power reduction was commenced in preparation for the Sixth Refueling Outage (RFO6). Power was reduced to approximately 70% using the reactor recirculation pumps. With the reactor at approximately 70% power, operators observed that reactor power began fluctuating between 61% and 79%. Concurrent fluctuations in reactor water level, main steam line flows, Moisture Separator Reheater (MSR) steam flows, and turbine valve position were also noted.

The reactor mode switch was immediately placed in the Shutdown position, thereby initiating a manual reactor scram. All control rods fully inserted and no Safety Relief Valves lifted. The Emergency Operating Procedures (EOPs) were entered based on reactor water level decreasing to a Level 3 condition, an expected response following the scram. All safety equipment functioned properly in response to the scram. Plant conditions were such that no Emergency Core Cooling Systems (ECCS) were required to initiate. Reactor vessel water level was restored and the EOPs were then exited.

The cause of the reactor power fluctuations was determined to be spindle/tongue degradation of the No. 3 High Pressure Turbine Control Valve (HPTCV). A complete inspection of the No. 3 HPTCV and No. 4 HPTCV was completed during RFO6. In addition, the spindle/tongue inspections of the No. 1 HPTCV and No. 2 HPTCV, Turbine Stop Valves (TSVs), and the Turbine Bypass Valves (TBPVs) were completed in RFO6.

#### 

# **INITIAL PLANT CONDITIONS:**

Operational Condition:

1 Power Operation

Reactor Power:

70 Percent

Reactor Pressure:

990 psig

Reactor Temperature:

545 degrees Fahrenheit

# DESCRIPTION OF THE EVENT:

On September 4, 1998, at approximately 1700 hours, a reactor power reduction was commenced in preparation for RFO6. Power was reduced to approximately 70% using the reactor recirculation pumps. Due to possible HPTCV spindle/tongue degradation and potential changes in HPTCV positions, the control room staff had been prepared and briefed on contingency actions if fluctuations in power occurred that were similar to the July 19, 1998 scram. At 1827 hours, control room operators observed reactor power fluctuations approaching  $\pm$  10% on the Average Power Range Monitor (APRM) [MON] recorders. These were accompanied by fluctuations in reactor water level, main steam line flows and Moisture Separator Reheater (MSR) [MSR] steam flows. Fluctuations were also noted in the turbine valve position summation recorder.

The reactor mode switch was immediately placed in the Shutdown position, thereby initiating a manual reactor scram. All control rods fully inserted. The Emergency Operating Procedures (EOPs) were entered based on reactor water level decreasing to a Level 3 condition, an expected response following the scram. The operators took manual control of the North Reactor Feed Pump [P] to feed the reactor and returned level to the normal operating band, in accordance with EOPs. Power level at the time of the scram was approximately 70%.

The event resulted in a manual reactor scram and automatic actuation of the Engineered Safety Features (ESF) Level 3 Primary Containment Isolations for Groups 4 (Shutdown Cooling/Head Spray), 13 (Drywell Sumps), and 15 (Traversing In-Core Probe). Safety equipment functioned as designed. This event is being reported in accordance with 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(iv).

### CAUSE OF THE EVENT:

The cause of the reactor power fluctuations was determined to be spindle/tongue degradation of the No. 3 HPTCV [FCV]. Investigation has revealed that the root cause for the spindle/tongue degradation was improper torque and improper valve reassembly during the Fifth Refueling Outage (RFO5). A review of the specified torque for the HPTCVs tongue/spindle connection showed that 150 ft-lbf was the value specified by General Electric Company (GEC) in 1983. This value was used in the HPTCV and TSV maintenance procedures. A review of this torque specification showed that the actual torque specification should be 1000-1200 ft-lbf.

#### 

# **ANALYSIS OF THE EVENT:**

Control room operators took conservative and timely actions when confronted with the anomalous reactor conditions. A manual scram was inserted shortly after the onset of sustained power fluctuations. Operators adequately utilized procedures for scram recovery actions and to reconfigure plant systems and equipment to support Operational Condition 3. All safety systems and components challenged by this event responded as designed. All reactor parameters were maintained well within design limits, and responded as expected during and following the scram.

The condition of the HPTCVs, TSVs, and TBPVs would not have impacted their ability to perform their design trip and turbine bypass functions.

Therefore, the health and safety of the public was not adversely affected during or as a result of this event.

## **CORRECTIVE ACTIONS:**

A complete inspection and repair of the No. 3 HPTCV [FCV] and No. 4 HPTCV [FCV] was completed as a part of RFO6. In addition, the spindle/tongue inspections of the No. 1 and No. 2 HPTCV, TSVs, and TBPVs were completed during RFO6. The inspections revealed that the torque specification for the tongue/spindle connection on the HPTCVs, TSVs, and TBPVs was too low, and the method used to apply the torque was incorrect. Based on the results of these inspections, the spindle on the No. 3 HPTCV [FCV] and No. 4 HPTCV [FCV] was replaced, the tongues and locking plates on the HPTCVs were replaced, the HPTCVs, TSVs, and TBPVs tongue/spindle connections were torqued to the appropriate specification, and work requests and procedures that referenced the incorrect spindle/tongue torque specification were revised. Installation of monitoring equipment on the HPTCVs and associated Unitized Actuators (UAs) is also planned for RFO6 to quantify the vibration and stress at the HPTCVs. The monitoring equipment includes strain gages and accelerometers on the HPTCVs, accelerometers on the associated HPTCV UAs and Linear Variable Differential Transformers (LVDTs) on the valve components.

Problems identified during RFO6 HPTCV, TSV, and TBPV work activities will be investigated and resolved prior to reactor startup from RFO6.

LI	CENSEE EVE	NT	RE	PO	RT	(LI	ER	TE	XT (	CO	NT	INL	JAT	10	N				
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOG	CKET	NUMB	ER (2)				_		_	-	LER N		R (6)			Р	AGE (3)	)
									YEAR			QUEN NUMBE				ISION ABER			
Fermi 2	0	5	0	0	0	3	4	1	98		0	0	5	-	0	0	5	OF	5

# **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION:**

# A. Failed Components:

No. 3 High Pressure Turbine Control Valve English Electric Mark No. N3021F004C

# B. Previous LERs on Similar Problems:

LER 98-004: On July 19, 1998, at approximately 1000 hours, a reactor power reduction was commenced in preparation for a control rod pattern adjustment. Power was reduced to approximately 64% using the reactor recirculation pumps and the control rods. With the reactor at approximately 64% power, the operator noticed that reactor power began fluctuating between 50% and 75%. Main steam flow, flow to both Moisture Separator Reheaters, and reactor power were also fluctuating. The reactor mode switch was immediately placed in the Shutdown position, thereby initiating a manual reactor scram. The most probable cause of the reactor power fluctuations was determined to be unstable flow through the No. 4 HPTCV. Operation for the remainder of the cycle with the No. 4 HPTCV closed was evaluated and it was determined that the plant could be operated safely in that condition with the resultant reduction in power required to accommodate it.

# CHIEGOKY I

# REGULATORY INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (RIDS)

	O.R. Detroit NAME RECIPIE	AFFILIA Edison NT AFF	ATION Co. ILIATI	ON	Unit 2, D		Edis	
SUBJECT	Forwards LER 98- scram in respons made by util, lis	e to r						
	TTION CODE: IE22T 50.73/50.9 License						e: 1 +	5
NOTES:								
	RECIPIENT ID CODE/NAME PD3-1 PD	COPII LTTR 1	ES ENCL 1		IPIENT DDE/NAME		PIES R ENCL 1	
NTERNAL:		1	1	AEOD/SI		2	2	
	AEOD/SPD/RRAB NRR/DE/ECGB	1	1	FILE CI		1	1	
	NRR/DE/EMEB	1	1	NRR/DE	CH/HICB	1	1	
	NRR/DRCH/HOHB	1	1	NRR/DRO		1	1	
	NRR/DRPM/PECB	i	ī	NRR/DSS		1	1	
	RES/DET/EIB	1	ī	RGN3	FILE 01	ī	ī	
XTERNAL:	L ST LOBBY WARD	1	1 <		RYCE, J H	<b>)</b> 1	1	
	NOAC POORE, W.	1	1		JEENER, DS	1	1	
	NRC PDR	1	1	NUDOCS	FULL TXT	1	1	
		3/						

NOTE TO ALL "RIDS" RECIPIENTS: PLEASE HELP US TO REDUCE WASTE. TO HAVE YOUR NAME OR ORGANIZATION REMOVED FROM DISTRIBUTION LISTS OR REDUCE THE NUMBER OF COPIES RECEIVED BY YOU OR YOUR ORGANIZATION, CONTACT THE DOCUMENT CONTROL DESK (DCD) ON EXTENSION 415-2083